

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/600,854	Applicant(s) FENICAL ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(2) Antony Novom.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NONE

Claims discussed:
 2-6, 15-21, 27-31, 45 and 51

Prior art documents discussed:
 NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Novom to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claims 2-6, 15-21 and 27-30 were canceled and claims 31, 45 and 51 were amended by deleting the phrase "or substituents comprising a sulfonyl moiety,". It was agreed that claims 2-6, 15-21 and 27-30 would be canceled and claims 31, 45 and 51 amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..